

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	D. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/975,664	10/10/2001		Frank Fellhauer	1.8	8610	
7590 12/30/2003				EXAM	EXAMINER	
Matthew J. Pe			TON, ANABEL			
1550 Starlight ( Las Vegas, NV		nue	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
-				2875		
•				DATE MAILED: 12/30/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/975,664	FELLHAUER, FRANK
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Anabel M Ton	2875
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 c after SIX (5) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, If NO period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory p - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply vill, by - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may on. a reply within the statutory minimum of toeriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) M statute, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed  hirty (30) days will be considered timely.  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	23 October 2003.	
2a)☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠	This action is non-final.	
Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice un		
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the applicat	tion.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wit	hdrawn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6) Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	and/or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exa		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐		-
Applicant may not request that any objection to		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the c		
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	ne examiner. Note the attack	ed Office Action of form F10-132.
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo	projen priprity under 35 I I S (	8 119(a) (d) or (f)
a) All b) Some * c) None of:	neigh phoney under 33 0.3.0	., 9 119(a)-(u) or (i).
1. Certified copies of the priority documents		
<ul><li>2. Certified copies of the priority docu</li><li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the</li></ul>		
application from the International B		in received in this realistic stage
* See the attached detailed Office action for	a list of the certified copies n	
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dor since a specific reference was included in the 37 CFR 1.78.		
<ul> <li>a) The translation of the foreign languag</li> </ul>		
14)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dor reference was included in the first sentence		
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper N		f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Application/Control Number: 09/975,664 Page 2

Art Unit: 2875

#### DETAILED ACTION

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. Claims 1,5,7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Haines (1,894,173).
- 1. The recitation "a forward facing brake light" has not been given any patentable weight because it has been held that a preamble is denied the effect of a limitation where the claim is drawn to a structure and the portion of the claim following the preamble is denied the effect of a limitation where the claim is drawn to a structure and the portion of the claim following the preamble is a self contained description of the structure not depending for completeness upon the introductory clause. *Kropa v. Robie* 88 USPQ 478(CCPA 1951)
- 2. Haines discloses the instant invention except for the recitation of the from lens segments being mounted in a "gull-winged" shape. Haines discloses an external housing, the housing comprising a base, the housing further comprising a top piece fixedly attached to the base, (fig 1) the housing further comprising a lens, the lens comprising four separate segments (9), two larger segments and two smaller segments(right and left directional 9), the two larger segments being front-mounted, the two smaller segments being side-mounted (upper and lower segments 9)), a pair of compartments, a front compartment (10,15) and a rear compartment, both of the compartments being located within the external housing(fig 2), at least three light

Application/Control Number: 09/975,664

Art Unit: 2875

Page 3

sources(28,32), a first light source, a second light source, and a third light source, the first light source being located in the front compartment, the second light source and the third light source being located in the rear compartment, and power means for providing power to the light sources(figs 2 and 3); all of the light sources within the external housing would be light bulbs; the rear compartment within the external housing would further comprise a pair of interior reflector compartments, each interior reflector compartment being located adjacent to a smaller segment of the lens, and further wherein at least one light source would be located within each interior reflector compartment(the interior segments18 are metal therefore reflective)

3. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to mount the lens segments in a gull-winged shape since such an aerodynamic shaping of the lenses of the brake light is old and well known in the art for making the body design of an automobile more streamline and aerodynamic.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negative by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 2-4,6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Application/Control Number: 09/975,664

Art Unit: 2875

Page 4

- 6. Hanes discloses the claimed invention except for reciting that the brake light is forward facing being attached to a location on the vehicle where it would be visible from a location in front of the vehicle. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to place a brake light attached at a location where it would be visible from a location in front of the vehicle since it has been held that rearranging parts of an invention involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Japiske*, 86 USPQ 70.
  - The power means preferably comprises the battery located within the vehicle.
  - Although Haines does not recite all the light sources within the external housing would turn on when the brake pedal in the vehicle would be depressed, and further wherein all the light sources within the external housing would turn off when the brake pedal in the vehicle would be released, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, that a brake light with multiple functioning lights would inherently turn on when the brake is depressed and off when it is released such as in modern automobiles.
  - With regards to all of the light sources within the external housing would be light-emitting diodes, It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use an LED as the light source of the device of the instant invention since the examiner takes official notice that the advantages of LED over other light sources (i.e. reduced size, high efficiency, low power consumption, long life, resistance to vibrations, low heat production) are old and well known in the illumination art.

Application/Control Number: 09/975,664 Page 5

Art Unit: 2875

### Response to Arguments

7. In response to applicant's argument that Haines (1,894,173) is nonanalogous art. it has been held that a prior art reference must either be in the field of applicant's endeavor or, if not, then be reasonably pertinent to the particular problem with which the applicant was concerned, in order to be relied upon as a basis for rejection of the claimed invention. See In re Oetiker, 977 F.2d 1443, 24 USPQ2d 1443 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, Haines discloses a rear signal light. Applicant argues that the instant invention requires only four lenses as opposed to the five segment/lenses disclosed by Haines. In response to applicant's argument of this point, applicant recites the term "comprising" in the preamble of the claim which is open ended language, meaning, that since the Haines reference has 5 segments/lenses it also has four, thus satisfying this limitation. With regards to the "gull winged shape" of the front segments, the abovementioned 103 rejection applies. With regards to the panels two smaller segments not being side mounted as stated by applicant, as seen in figure 1, they are side mounted with respect to front panel 3. With regards to the argument that the interior compartments fail to disclose reflector compartments, note that as shown by figure 4, the bracket to which the bulbs and electrical components are attached to be metallic and inherently reflective.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anabel M Ton whose telephone number is (571) 272-2382. The examiner can normally be reached on 08:00-16:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sandra O'Shea can be reached on (571) 272-2378. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

> Anabel M Ton Examiner Art Unit 2875

AMT

Sandra O'Shea Supervisory Patent Examiner

Technology Center 2800